



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/989,555	11/20/2001	M. David Boothe	BOO001/0135818	1685

7590 07/16/2003

GARY L. BUSH  
ANDREWS & KURTH  
MAYOR, DAY, CALDWELL & KEETON L.L.P.  
700 LOUISIAN, SUITE 1900  
HOUSTON, TX 77002

EXAMINER

LUGO, CARLOS

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3677

DATE MAILED: 07/16/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/989,555

Applicant(s)

BOOTHE, M. DAVID

Examiner

Carlos Lugo

Art Unit

3677

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 June 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 9-11 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 9-11 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 19 November 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:  
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  
\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

### Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) \_\_\_\_\_ 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

### DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is in response to applicant's request for continued examination filed on June 19, 2003.

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) The invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. **Claims 9-11 are rejected** under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Pat No 1,615,120 to Fischer.

Fischer discloses a roll up door (1 and 2), arranged and designed to roll up and down in a vertical opening of a wall and having a latch mechanism (Figure 1).

The latch mechanism includes a latch plate (Figure 3) mounted on the roll up door, and a latch (4,10 and 11) mounted on the latch plate. The latch is arranged for horizontal movement between an open and a closed position by moving a locking piece into and out of engagement with a slot of the wall (Figures 1 and 3). A loop is connected directly to a hole in the latch (Figure 1).

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. **Claims 9-11 are rejected** under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Pat No 3,078,917 to Recchione in view of US Pat No 426,389 to Lacey, US Pat No 6,076,867 to Dollmann et al (Dolmann) or in view of US Pat No 357,116 to Coultaus.

Recchione discloses a roll up door (22), arranged and designed to roll up and down in a vertical opening of a wall (43), having a latch mechanism (Figure 2).

The latch mechanism includes a latch plate (115) mounted on the roll up door, and a latch (118 and 119) mounted on the latch plate. The latch is arranged for horizontal movement between an open and a closed position by moving a locking piece (119) into and out of engagement with a slot (124) of the wall.

However, Recchione fails to disclose that a loop is connected directly to a hole in the latch.

Lacey teaches that is known in the art to have a sliding latch (A) with a hole and a loop directly connected to the loop (Figures 1 and 2).

Also, Dollman teaches that is known in the art to have a sliding latch (11) with a hole (30) and a loop (29) directly connected to the loop.

And also, Coultaus teaches that is known in the art to have a sliding latch (A) with a hole and a loop (where pin d is located, Figures 2 and 3).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a hole with a loop, as taught by Lacey, Dollman or Coultaus, into a device as described by Recchione, in order to help in the open movement of the latch.

Art Unit: 3677

6. **Claims 9-11 are rejected** under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Pat No 4,930,563 to Finch et al (Finch) in view of US Pat No 426,389 to Lacey, US Pat No 6,076,867 to Dollman et al (Dolmann) or in view of US Pat No 357,116 to Coultaus.

Finch discloses a roll up door (120), arranged and designed to roll up and down in a vertical opening of a wall (162), having a latch mechanism (130,132,134 and 136).

The latch mechanism includes a latch plate (130) mounted on the roll up door, and a latch (132 and 134) mounted on the latch plate. The latch is arranged for horizontal movement between an open and a closed position by moving a locking piece (134) into and out of engagement with a slot (136) of the wall.

However, Finch fails to disclose that a loop is connected directly to a hole in the latch.

Lacey teaches that is known in the art to have a sliding latch (A) with a hole and a loop directly connected to the loop (Figures 1 and 2).

Also, Dollman teaches that is known in the art to have a sliding latch (11) with a hole (30) and a loop (29) directly connected to the loop.

And also, Coultaus teaches that is known in the art to have a sliding latch (A) with a hole and a loop (where pin d is located, Figures 2 and 3).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a hole with a loop, as taught by Lacey, Dollman or

Art Unit: 3677


Coultaus, into a device as described by Finch, in order to help in the open movement of the latch.

***Conclusion***

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents cited further show the state of the art with respect to sliding latches.
8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carlos Lugo. The examiner phone number is (703)-305-9747. The fax number for correspondence before a final action is (703)-872-9326 and the fax number for correspondence after final action is (703)-872-9327. The email direction of the examiner is carlos.lugo@uspto.gov. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9:30am to 6:30pm (EST). If the examiner is not available, please leave a message, including the application number and the examiner will answer the message as soon as possible.

\*\*\*

July 10, 2003

  
ROBERT J. SANDY  
PRIMARY EXAMINER